RECEIVED CENTRAL PAX CENTER MAR 0 2 2009

ANGELA M. BRUNETTI, PLLC

11300 East Caribbean Lane Scottsdale, Arizona 85255 (480) 200-2054 Telephone (480) 513-9354 Facsimile

TO:

Examiner Hugh M. Jones

Art Unit 2128

Phone (571) 272-3781 Pax (571) 273-8300

FROM:

Angela Brunetti

Reg. No. 41,647

RE:

Examiner Interview Request for 10/707,365 proposed argument for discussion.

NUMBER of PAGES:

Three (3) including this cover sheet.

4805139354

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX GENTER

MAR 0 2 2009

PTOL-413A (01-09)
Approved for use through 02/28/2009, OMB 0651-0031
LS. Palent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMEDCE

			U.S. Patent and Tradema	ark Office: U.S. DEPAF	RTMENT OF COMME	
	Applicant Initia	ited Interv	iew Request	Form		
Application No.: 10/707,		***	First Named Applicant: Kenneth Boyd			
Examiner: Hugh M. Jone	es Art Unit	2128	~ -	of Application:	Final Rejection	
Tentative Participants:						
(1) Angela M. Brunetti		(2)	Examiner Hugh M. Jor	nae		
(3)		(2)		160		
Proposed Date of Intervi	iew: Week of 3/2/09	•	Proposed Time:	10:00 AM EST	AM/PM	
Type of Interview Reque	ested:					
(1) Telephonic		d (3	3) Video Confere	ence		
Exhibit To Be Shown or If yes, provide brief desc		السيائيا	YES conse to Advisory Action	NO n dated 2/18/09	•	
		es To Be Dis				
Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed	
(1) Rej.	1-3,7-12,16-29	Pallot				
(2)						
(3)						
(4)						
Continuation Sheet	t Attached					
Brief Description of Argu	ment to be Presented:					
See Continuation Sheet						
		<u> </u>				
An interview was conduct	ed on the above-identif	red annlication	^B			
NOTE: This form should	be completed by applic	cant and submit	ted to the examiner in	· 1 advance of the	interview	
(see MPEP § /13.01).						
This application will not be interview. Therefore, app	e delayed from issue be dicant is advised to file	cause of applicate of t	ant's failure to submit	t a written recor	rd of this	
soon as possible.	THE CARRY AND MANY THUMBER WE MANY.	a statement of s	ge substatice of this in	iterview (3/ Cr.	R 1.133(b)) as	
A						
Applicant/Applicant's Angela M. Brunetti	Representative Signature		Examiner/SPI	E Signature		
Typed/Printed Name of A 41,647	Applicant or Representati	ive				
Registration Number	er if applicable					

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

U.S. Serial No. 10/707,365

9

Atty. Docket No. 81044284

REMARKS

In the Advisory Action the Examiner remarked that Applicant's arguments were not persuasive. Specifically, the Examiner asserted that the act of "avoiding understeering" does not mean that the understeering hasn't begun, and instead means that corrective action is taken when the onset of understeering is detected, and that understeering is thus avoided.

Applicants respectfully disagree and assert that the Pallot reference does not teach the detection of understeering. It is respectfully asserted that the Pallot reference teaches detecting a potential onset of tire saturation. Therefore, if understeering occurs as the result of a vehicle parameter not related to tire saturation, it will not be detected or addressed according to the teachings of Pallot. Further, the Pallot reference teaches taking corrective action upon the detection of a threshold limit being reached, and that threshold limit applies to tire saturation, not understeering. The tire saturation threshold limit taught by Pallot is set to prevent the occurrence of tire saturation, thereby avoiding the occurrence of understeering altogether.

The Examiner also indicated that it is not clear how corrective action could be taken if the onset of understeering is not detected.

Applicants assert that because Pallot is teaching the detection of a limit for tire saturation that is reached before tire saturation occurs, that corrective action may be taken in advance of the occurrence of tire saturation. Therefore, corrective action taken to prevent tire saturation will have the result of avoiding any effects of tire saturation, one of which may be understeering.

Finally, the Examiner stated that, for the sake of argument and considering Applicants' arguments, the ability to allegedly prevent understeering before it can occur presupposes a model of understeering, such as referred to in Pallot at column 8, lines 21-24.

Applicants respectfully assert that because Pallot is directed to detecting a threshold limit for tire saturation, and not detecting understeering, that it does not presuppose a model of undesteering as asserted by the Examiner. It is respectfully asserted that the Pallot reference presupposes a model of tire saturation and not a model of understeering as claimed in the present invention.

DRAFT